

February 2025

# Agreement to sell granting possession of immovable property must be treated as a conveyance for stamp duty purposes

Recently the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India ("**Supreme Court**"), while deciding the case of *Mr. Ramesh Mishrimal Jain vs. Mr. Avinash Vishwanath Patne and Niranjan Prakash Dali*,<sup>1</sup> held that an agreement to sell granting possession of property would be deemed to be a conveyance and would attract stamp duty as applicable to a conveyance under Explanation I to Article 25 ("the Explanation") of the Maharashtra Stamp Act, 1958 ("Maharashtra Stamp Act").

#### **Brief facts**

- 1. Respondent no. 1 along with his mother were joint owners of the property situated at Paiki Village Kasaba Khed, Khed Taluk, within the limits of Khed Municipal Council, Maharashtra ("**Suit Property**"). The appellant was a tenant in the Suit Property.
- 2. By and under an unregistered Agreement to Sell dated September 3, 2003 ("**said Agreement**") executed on a stamp paper of INR 50 (Indian Rupees fifty), respondent No. 1's mother, agreed to sell the Suit Property to the appellant for a consideration of INR 11,00,000 (Indian Rupees eleven lakh) on the terms and conditions mentioned therein. The said Agreement provided the timeline within which the sale deed was to be executed, upon which the possession would be handed over on ownership basis. The same was also reaffirmed by an extension agreement dated July 28, 2004. Pursuant to the demise of respondent no.1's mother, respondent no 1 became the absolute owner of the Suit Property. The appellant filed a suit<sup>2</sup> for specific performance of the said Agreement before the Court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Ratnagiri ("**Trial Court**").
- 3. The respondents filed an application under Section 34 of the Maharashtra Stamp Act before the Trial Court for impounding the said Agreement on the ground that the said Agreement was not sufficiently stamped. The appellant who was already in possession of the Suit Property in the capacity as a tenant, claimed that the said Agreement did not amount to a conveyance and that no stamp duty was payable at that stage. The appellant argued that his occupation of the Suit Property as a tenant was not part of the sale transaction. The appellant further claimed that after completion of the sale transaction, the possession of the Suit Property would be given to the appellant on ownership basis.

<sup>1</sup> 2025 INSC 213 (Civil Appeal No. 2549 of 2025) <sup>2</sup> Special Civil Suit No. 65 of 2012

- 4. The Trial Court, *vide* order dated August 3, 2015 ("**Trial Court Order**") allowed the application, impounded the said Agreement and directed that the same be sent to the Register of Stamps for recovery of deficit stamp duty. The Appellant's contention that no stamp duty was required as it was not a conveyance was rejected.
- 5. The appellant challenged the Trial Court's Order, but the Bombay High Court dismissed the writ petition<sup>3</sup>, upholding the impounding of the said Agreement and stamp duty recovery. The Bombay High Court ruled that since the appellant remained in possession of the Suit Property, the said Agreement was 'deemed conveyance' under the Explanation of the Maharashtra Stamp Act.
- 6. The order of Bombay High Court came to be challenged by the appellant before the Supreme Court.

#### Issue

The Supreme Court in the civil appeal framed the following question of law:

"Whether the Appellant is liable to pay stamp duty and penalty on the Agreement to Sell dated 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2003 in respect of the Suit Property".

#### **Finding and analysis**

- 1. The legal position that stamp duty is applicable on the instrument rather than the transaction was re-affirmed by the Supreme Court. Thus, even if the actual transfer of ownership occurs later, the agreement itself can attract stamp if possession has been given.
- 2. The Explanation provides that an agreement to sell an immovable property is considered as a conveyance, if under such agreement possession is transferred or agreed to be transferred.
- 3. The Supreme Court referred to its judgments in the matters of *Veena Hasmukh Jain vs. State of Maharashtra*<sup>4</sup>, and *Shyamsundar Radheshyam Agarwal vs. Pushpabai Nilkanth Patil*<sup>5</sup>, whereunder it had held that in case of an agreement to sell immovable property if possession is transferred at any time without executing the conveyance such an instrument is deemed to be a 'conveyance'.
- 4. The Supreme Court stated that the intent in equating the agreement at par with a conveyance, was with an object to realise the revenue at the earliest point of time. It further held that since possession was given to the appellant before the said Agreement, possessory rights protected under Section 53A of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882 would also be derived and therefore the same requires payment of proper stamp duty.

#### Conclusion

The Supreme Court affirmed that an agreement to sell attracts stamp duty as a conveyance under the Maharashtra Stamp Act if possession of property is given.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Writ petition No. 3246 of 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> (1999) 5 SCC 725: 1999 SCC Online SC 78

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> (2024) 10 SCC 324

### **Real Estate Practice**

JSA is widely recognised as having one of the premier Real Estate Practices in India, and it is one of the most sophisticated & highly diversified commercial Real Estate practices, led by knowledgeable and experienced Real Estate experts at each of our offices in Gurugram, New Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore and Hyderabad and Chennai. Our clients use our services for some very challenging and complex Real Estate transactions, which require thoughtful and practical advice.

Our clients comprise a broad cross-section of Indian and International, Institutional & private entities, including Developers, Real Estate advisers, Banks, Real Estate Funds, high net worth Investors, Governments, Major Retailers, Hotel owners & operators and others.

We are primarily involved in legal and regulatory issues for various types of Real Estate projects, including in relation to construction and development of hotels, malls, residential & commercial complexes, warehouses, IT & Industrial Parks and Special Economic Zones.

We have been involved in conducting legal due diligence in relation to such projects and have drafted/ reviewed various types of documents including transaction documents such as (a) Shareholders/ Subscription/ Share Purchase Agreements; (b) Development Agreements; (c) Joint Venture Agreements and other related documents/ agreements.

During the course of our practice, we have also been involved in the drafting/ reviewing of (a) Agreements for Sale; (b) Conveyance Deeds; and (c) Lease Deeds.

#### This Prism has been prepared by:



Partner



Associate



18 Practices and 41 Ranked Lawyers



14 Practices and 12 Ranked Lawyers



20 Practices and 22 Ranked Lawyers



Among Top 7 Best Overall Law Firms in India and 11 Ranked Practices ------11 winning Deals in

IBLJ Deals of the Year

11 A List Lawyers in IBLJ A-List - 2024



7 Ranked Practices, 21 Ranked Lawyers



12 Practices and 50 Ranked Lawyers



Ranked Among Top 5 Law Firms in India for ESG Practice



Asia M&A Ranking 2024 – Tier 1

Employer of Choice 2024

Energy and Resources Law Firm of the Year 2024

Litigation Law Firm of the Year 2024

Innovative Technologies Law Firm of the Year 2023

Banking & Financial Services Law Firm of the Year 2022



Recognised in World's 100 best competition practices of 2025

## vани<mark></mark>

Ranked #1 The Vahura Best Law Firms to Work Report, 2022 ------

Top 10 Best Law Firms for Women in 2022



7 Practices and 3 Ranked Lawyers

For more details, please contact km@jsalaw.com

www.jsalaw.com



Ahmedabad | Bengaluru | Chennai | Gurugram | Hyderabad | Mumbai | New Delhi



This Prism is not an advertisement or any form of solicitation and should not be construed as such. This Prism has been prepared for general information purposes only. Nothing in this Prism constitutes professional advice or a legal opinion. You should obtain appropriate professional advice before making any business, legal or other decisions. JSA and the authors of this Prism disclaim all and any liability to any person who takes any decision based on this publication.